Literacy Design Collaborative Module Check List: At A Glance...

	Cover	Done
	mes are provided.	
 The title rela 	tes to your task.	
	Information Sheet	
Overview	 informs teachers or other readers of the subject, topic or issue addressed in the module. 	
Template Task	 includes number, type, and levels exactly as written in the collection (only include the levels being taught) 	
Completed Task Contact Info	is completed without changes to the wording of the stemincludes all relevant information.	
	Section 1: What Task?	
Template Task State Standards Background Prompt LDC Rubric Extension (Optional)	 matches the one inserted in the Information Sheet (page 2) are provided with specific information and source. provides <i>students</i> with the necessary context to address the prompt. matches the one completed for the Information Sheet. has not been changed. if included, asks students to use what they learned and produced with a larger audience. 	
	Section II: What Skills?	
 articulate the 	created your own skill list, does it e skills students need as the "ability to" skills into clusters and sequenced the clusters?	
	Section III: What Instruction?	
Skill/Mini-tasks	created your own instructional ladder each skill has an associated mini-task(s).	
Scoring Guide	are included for mini-tasks that you will score.	
Instruction	articulate the instructional strategies for each mini-task. are provide as a greating to the page to provide as a great for this prini task.	
Prep / PD Pacing	 provide suggestions to teachers to prepare for this mini-task. estimate how much time is necessary to teach and students to do each mini-task (uses hours/minutes to translate to different schedules) 	
Materials	 include specific information (i.e., citations) on the texts, programs, web-based resources, or supports you used. 	
	Section IV: What results?	
Student work samples	 once taught, includes an example of a "meets expectations" or "advanced" paper is included 	
01	do NOT include student names	
Classroom Assessment	 uses the same template as the teaching task prompt. includes the LDC rubric. 	
	Teacher Work Section	
If you taught the m	odule, you have included thoughts about	
 what you saw student stren 	w in the student response and work.(e.g., what the work tells you about ngths and weaknesses)	
 what you wo 	uld change in the teaching task, skills and/or instruction next time.	

I DC 2011

Literacy Design Collaborative Module Rubric: Taking a Harder Look....

Guiding Questions for Conversation

Section 1: What Task?	Yes	No
Is the template task that you selected designed for the subject area you are teaching?		
(See the template task collection)		
Does the task you created align to the content students need to know as outlined in the		
state standards?		
 Does the task "get at" what you want students to learn? 		
 Is the task worth doing and investing time and effort? 		
Does your task promote intellectual challenges that "ratchet up" students'		
thinking and literacy practices?		
Does the task promote reciprocity between reading and writing?		
Does it facilitate the interplay between more or less difficult texts and writing demands so		
that students build the ability to manage increasingly difficult content and skills?		
Is it specific in its presentation of content and skills? Does it avoid "sprawl" so that it		
is doable within the time and product limitations (e.g., article length, essay pages)?		
Is the extension "relevant and real" for the students and audience?		

Section II: What Skills? If you modified or created your own skill list		No
Do the identified skills align to the task?		
If you are keeping the LDC or another organization's prototype (e.g., Paideia), do you really intend to teach the skill?		

Section III: What Instruction?		No
If you modified or created your own instructional ladder		
Does each mini-task intentionally build the skill it purports to support? Is it, in fact, a		
mini-task or an "activity"?		
Do the skills and mini-tasks build upon each other in a way that will lead students to		
successfully completing the overall task?		
Do the instructional strategies for each mini-task:		
 enable students to acquire the skills and content embedded in the task? 		
 vary students experiences to keep learning interesting? 		
 enliven the experience of engaging in the task? 		
 Include specific approaches to teaching levels 2 and 3 if selected for the task? 		
Are the teacher preparation and professional development suggestions relevant?		
Are the pacing suggestions for each mini-task appropriate given the grade level and task?		
Are the materials relevant to the task?		

Section IV: What Results?		No
Did more than one person score the student work?		
Was there an analysis done of the student work? Is the analysis useful in determining		
next steps and long-term curriculum decisions? Does the analysis provide feedback on		
the effectiveness of the task and student progress?		
Is the classroom assessment task doable within a class period? Is it assessing the same		
types of content and skills embedded in the teaching task?		

I DC 2011